Application No. Applicant(s) 10/063,088 COUSIN ET AL. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Katherine W Mitchell 3677 All Participants: Status of Application: being allowed (1) Katherine W Mitchell. (3) _____. (4) _____. (2) Ronald E Smith. Date of Interview: 4 August 2004 Time: ____ Type of Interview: Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes ⊠ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: no Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner is doing an examiner's amendment to add the reference to the parent application as the first line of the specification Part III. 🔯 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)